Received: 11/ 7/02 12:37; -> FHFGD; Page 4 KEKER & VAN NEST 415 397 7188

e.

2004/007

ORIGINAL FILED NOV 0 6 2002 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP JOHN W. KEKER - #49092 1 MICHAEL H. PAGE - #154913 2 710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1704 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188 RECEIVED INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION NOV - 4 2002 5 DOUGLAS K. DERWIN - #111407 MARK SCADINA - #173103 RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DAKLAND б JEFF MCDOW - #184727 4800 Patrick Henry Drive Santa Clara, CA 95054 Telephone: (408) 855-0100 8 Facsimile: (408) 855-0144 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION 9 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 14 Case No. C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ) INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES 15 CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, Consolidated with C 02-0647 SBA 16 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER 17 18 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation. 19 Plaintiff's Colored to serve this Defendant. order upon an annual purities in this action. 20 21 AND COUNTER ACTION. **Ž**2 23 On October 31, 2002, this Court conducted a further telephonic Case Management 24 Conference. Pursuant to that conference, the Court ORDERS: 25 SELECTION OF CLAIMS TO BE CONSTRUED 26 The following twelve claims have been selected to be the subject of a "mini-Markman" 27 and "indefiniteness" hearing: 28 [PROPOSED] FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER CASE NO. C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ), CONSOLIDATED WITH C 02-0647 SBA

301151.01

ι

8

KEKER & VAN NEST

Ø 005/007

Claims 1, 11, 15 & 19 Patent No. 6,253,193 B1: Claims 1 & 34 Patent No. 6,157,721: 2 Claims 8 & 35 Patent No. 5,917,912: 3 Claim 58 Patent No. 5,920,861: 4 Patent No. 5,982,891: Claim 1 5 Claim 2 Patent No. 6,185,683 B1: 6 Claim 155 Patent No. 5,892,900: 7 SCHEDULE

The Court adopts the following schedule:

Ĭ		と下げ、これに
10	Technical and make the second	Dane .
1	Patent L.R. 4-1 exchange of proposed terms and claim elements for construction for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	11/08/02
3	Patent L.R. 4-2 exchange of preliminary claim constructions and extrinsic evidence for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	12/20/02
14	Last day for parties to meet and confer for the purpose of preparing joint claim construction statement for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	1/17/03
6	Parties to file Patent L.R. 4-3 joint claim construction statement for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	1/31/03
18	Further Telephonic Case Management Conference (regarding format and length of Markman hearing and briefing)	2/13/03 2:30 p.m.
19 20	Completion of claim construction discovery pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-4 for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims (other than depositions of declarants)	2/28/03
21 22	File and serve opening claim construction brief(s) pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-5(a) for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	3/17/03
23	File and serve Motion(s) for Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims) on issue of indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(2)	3/17/03
25	File and serve opposition brief(s) pursuant to Patent L.R. 4-5(b) for the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims	4/7/03
26 27	File and serve Opposition to any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims) on issue of indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(2)	
28	and the same of th	

301151.01

1			
250	THE THE PARTY OF T		
	ile and serve reply claim construction brief(s) pursuant to Patent L.R.	4/21/03	
4	-5(c) for the 1 west of the	4/21/03	
F	ile and serve Reply on any Motion for Partial Summary Judgment into or more of the Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Claims) on issue of indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(2)		
Y	Patent L.R. 4-6 claim construction hearing (and, possibly, technology Patent L.R. 4-6 claim construction hearing (and, possibly, technology Patent L.R. 4-6 claims and Motion for cutorial) for Twelve Selected InterTrust Patent Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Summary Judgment (for one or more of the Twelve Selected Partial Selecte	May 12, 29. & 30, 2003	
3 N	Further Case Management Conference	45 days after Ruling(s)	
0	OTHER MATTERS DISCUSSED		
1	The Court defers consideration of the format of the Markman and/or indefiniteness hearing, and of the length and number of briefs, to the February 13, 2003 Further Case Management Conference. The parties shall address these issues in their Further Case Management Conference Statement.		
2			
II.			
4			
5	·		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7	DATED: November 5, 2002 Hon. Saundra Research Armstrong United States District Judge		
19			
l	Omited States District 1905		
20 21		. •	
22	·		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

2007/007

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the member of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Keker & Van Nest, LLP, 710 Sansome Street, San Francisco, California 94111.

On November 7, 2002, I served the following document(s):

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

by FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION (IKON) AND UNITED STATES MAIL, by placing a true and correct copy with IKON Office Solutions, the firm's in-house facsimile transmission center provider, for transmission on this date. The transmission was reported as complete and without error. A true and correct copy of same was placed in a sealed envelope addressed as shown below. I am readily familiar with the practice of Keker & Van Nest, LLP for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing. According to that practice, items are deposited with the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am aware that, on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or the postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing stated in this affidavit.

11

16 17

18

19

20

21 22 23

10

6

7

8

9

Ø

12 Eric L Wesenberg, Esq.
Mark R. Weinstein, Esq.
Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe
1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: 650/614-7400
Facsimile: 650/614-7401

John D. Vandenberg, Esq.
James E. Geringer, Esq.
Kristin L. Cleveland, Esq.
Klarquist Sparkman Campbell, et al.
One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland OR 97204

Telephone: 503/226-7391 Facsimile: 503/228-9446

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on November 7, 2002, at San Francisco, California.

NOEDLE'S. NICHOLS

26 27

24

25

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

A (MED CONSOLIDATED WITH C 02-0647 SBA